Research News...

Basal Pruning Fraser Fir

Dr. Eric Hinesley,
Dept. of Horticultural Science, NCSU

(Editor's Note: Dr. Hinesley will be speaking on this topic at the NCCTA Winter Meeting, February 25-26, 1994)

Several years ago, I began experiments to determine the cause of trunk splitting in Fraser fir Christmas trees. One question that arose from that research was as follows: would pruning branches from the lower trunk early in the rotation cause trees to produce trunks with smaller diameter? This would presumably decrease the incidence of splitting, which is usually worse on larger trunks. I also did not know the effect of basal pruning on tree growth and quality.

In 1991, a replicated experiment was established in more than a dozen Fraser fir plantations, ranging in size from 2-3 ft. up to 5-6 ft. Trees were selected for uniformity in each plantation so that they were all in the appropriate height class when the experiment first began. Half the trees were basal pruned (6-10" handle); the others were not pruned. Pruning was done with #2 Felco clippers and a Felco folding saw with a 6" blade. The time required to prune each plot was recorded, and the weight of branches cut from the bottom of trees in each plot was also measured.

The general protocol of this experiment is to harvest trees at an average sheared height of 7-8 ft. Harvesting began in December 1993, and will continue for 3-4 years as the smaller age classes reach 7-8 ft.

In November 1993, trees scheduled for harvest (four plantations that had been 5-6 ft. tall 2 years earlier) were graded by David Massee (NCDA), and rated for uniformity of the crown base. Trees were harvested in December 1993, and the time required to cut each plot was noted. Each tree was weighed, and measured for height and trunk diameter. Baling time was recorded for each tree.

The following summary provides a general picture. Remember: these results are for trees pruned 2 years before harvest.

1. Over all size classes, pruning time increased in a straight line from 29 seconds per tree in the 2-3 ft. class to 71 seconds per tree in 5-6 ft. trees. This can vary a lot, depending on people, fatigue, equipment used, etc.

2. Weight of branches cut from the handle increased in a straight line from 0.5 lbs. per tree in the 2-3 ft. class to 4.3 lbs. per tree in the 5-6 ft. class.

3. Basal pruning had no effect on height.

4. Basal pruning reduced cutting time 15% to 32% (average of 23%). The reduction was statistically significant in three of the four plantations.

5. Basal pruning reduced baling time 7% to 17% (average of 13%). This difference was significant in two of the four locations. Pruned trees were easier to push into the baler cone, and were also a little easier to grasp.

Note: if the metal jaws on the baler cable or chain are dull, they will frequently slip off the trunk, and greatly increase baling time. Sharp jaws with proper taper cut into the trunk are less likely to slip.

6. Basal pruning had no effect on trunk diameter.
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7. Average fresh weight was 44.55 lbs. Basal pruning reduced harvest weight 1%-14% (average = 8%). The difference was significant only in one of the four plantations. At most locations, the average difference in harvest fresh weight was about equal to the weight of branches removed from the tree 2 years earlier.

8. Basal pruning had no effect on the grouping of trees into USDA grades, or the quality of the base of the crown. Pruning 2 years before harvest allows ample time to even out any roughness in the base of the crown that arises from basal pruning.

We can conclude from these results that pruning 2 years before harvest does not affect growth during the last 2 years of the rotation. Pruning when trees are too small might result in stunting. However, 3-4 more years will be required in this experiment to determine the effect of basal pruning on Fraser fir of all ages.

Acknowledgments: Thanks to all Christmas tree growers who have kindly permitted this research in their plantations. Trees harvested in 1993 were grown by Sam Church, Kermit Johnson, Bruner Sides and Homer Sides. Special thanks to Jerry Washington (Alleghany Co.), and to the growers who provided labor and equipment for baling.
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CANAAN FIR - "THE SUPER FIR"

While "Super Fir" may be stretching a tad, tree growers have proven that Canaan Fir grows on a wide variety of sites.

CANAAN Fir, Abies balsamea, phanerolepis, is a recognized sub-species of Canadian Balsam Fir, and a cousin of Fraser Fir. CANAAN evolved in a harsh mountain swamp and is naturally adapted to wet sites and late frosts. Research by Dr. Brown in Ohio has proven that CANAAN will flourish on less than perfect fir sites. OARDC report available. While unproven, CANAAN has great potential to replace Fraser Fir on sites that are prone to root decline. Try some this spring.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CANAAN Fir Plug +1</th>
<th>Field Planting Size</th>
<th>Cost (per 100)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>200 - 800</td>
<td>$105.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,000 - 4,800</td>
<td>$69.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5,000 - 14,800</td>
<td>$52.50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Over 15,000</td>
<td>$49.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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